Farmers Digging Deeper

Demonstration Site Tracy dairy farm, Waratah Bay





Demonstration site

- Established in May 2022
- Goal to measure effects of a regenerative approach to pasture management and compare to a more conventional approach
- Questions:
 - Is a regenerative approach to farming in our high rainfall environment an economically viable alternative to a conventional high input approach?
 - Are multispecies crops and pastures more resilient and productive than less diverse ones?





Activities and treatments

Conventional side	Regenerative side
Annual ryegrass/clover in winter, millet and forage rape crop in summer	Annual multispecies pastures (sown in Autumn and Spring)
DAP fertiliser (synthetic granulated Nitrogen and Phosphorous)	Alternative fertilisers to feed soil biology; molasses, Rhizovator (fish hydrolosate/humate/seaweed mix), biocast (worm juice) to stimulate seed
Urea (in Autumn crop)	No urea
Yeomans plough to aerate soil each crop	Yeomans plough to aerate soil each crop (also used to add biological stimulants)
Spray paddock with glyphosate between crops	Spray half rate for summer crops to minimise competition
Mulching to remove fat hen stalks	Mulching to feed soil biology with crop residue

First crop May 2022

Slugs!



Second crop sown December 2022

Conventional, forage rape and millet, monitoring first graze Feb 23





Second crop sown December 2022

Regenerative, multispecies mix, monitored first graze Feb 23:

Millet, Forage Rape, Tillage Radish, Chicory, Vetch, Clover, Buckwheat, Sunflower,

Linseed





Monitoring in April 23 before second graze -

conventional



Monitoring in April 23 before second graze - regenerative



Third crop sown May 2023

Conventional, ryegrass and clover, monitored first graze August 23



Monitoring in September 23 before second graze - conventional



Third crop sown May 2023

Regenerative, Multispecies (with left over brassicas from previous crop) monitored first graze August 23:

Chicory, Vetch, Clover, Ryegrass, Oats, Forage rape, Tillage Radish,



Monitoring in September 23 before second graze - regenerative



Fourth crop sown November 2023

Conventional, forage rape and millet, monitored Feb 24



Fourth crop sown November 2023

Regenerative, multispecies (with left over brassicas from previous crop), monitored Feb 24:

Chicory, Vetch, Clover, Ryegrass, Oats, Forage rape, Tillage Radish,





Monitoring methods

- Soil tests, May 22, Oct 22, Oct 23, chemistry & biology. Plus soil carbon baseline at start
- Penetrometer
- Quadrats to record species present and weight
- Rising plate meter
- Forage tests
- Visual Soil Assessment
- Photos

Soil biology test results

- High ciliates indicating waterlogged soil and possibly anaerobic throughout, except for final test on regen side where it had improved
- No active fungi on conventional side, but present on regen side (low numbers, could be due to cold wet conditions)
- Active bacteria and nitrogen cycling potential higher on regen side in final test

Soil chemistry test results

Comparison between conventional and regen in October 23 final test:

- Soluble Ca, Mag, Potassium are all higher on the regen side, although both plot values were in the acceptable range for the soil type. The exception was soluble phosphorus on the regen side, which was low (3.2).
- Plant available P (Bray 1 test) nitrate nitrogen, ammonium nitrogen and sulphur all showed similar levels between the plots, and were in the acceptable-high range.
- Exchangeable and total nutrient levels are all higher on the regen side, excepting silicon. The total pool of boron and copper was low on the conventional side, while molybdenum and cobalt were low on both sides. Sodium and magnesium are still higher than desirable.

Results

	D	M	N	1E		ude tein	Non Carboh		Cal	cium		weight kg)	t/h	a DM
Date monitored	Con	Regen	Con	Regen	Con	Regen	Con	Regen	Con	Regen	Con	Regen	Con	Regen
4/10/2022	20.8	21.6	11.47	10.96	18.9	16.5	30.8	28	0.25	0.33				
24/02/2023	18.1	17.5	9.08	9.93	14.3	15.5	23.7	38.7	0.77	1.23	0.39	0.49	2.8	3.4
3/04/2023	18.7	16.5	10.23	10.52	18.8	21.1	33.1	38.6	0.98	1.17	0.4	0.4	3.0	2.6
4/08/2023	10.3	16.1	11.09	11.52	26.4	24.8	20.8	33	0.56	0.89	0.3	0.7	1.2	4.5
25/09/2023	15.2	17.7	11.12	11.76	22	24.4	27.4	32.7	0.66	0.78	0.6	0.8	3.6	5.7
5/02/2024	14.4	12.6	9.09	10.48	13.4	18.3	24.6	41.1	0.98	1.48	0.6	1	3.5	5.0
Average	16.2	17.0	10.4	10.9	19.0	20.1	26.7	35.4	0.7	1.0	0.5	0.7	2.8	4.3

Input costs Summer crop 22/23

	Cost/ha		
Activity	Conventional	Regen	
Sow seeds	128	173	
Spray glyphosate	43.5	43.5	
DAP	180		
Urea			
Effluent	250	250	
Rhizovator		44	
K-humate		31	
Molasses		8	
Biocast worm			
juice		2	
Aerate with			
Yeomans plough	150	150	
Tow and fert		60	
Total cost/ha	752	762	

Summer crop 23/24

Cost/ha					
Activity	Conventional	Regen			
Sow seeds	198	184			
Spray glyphosate	39	33			
DAP	155				
Rhizovator		35			
K humate		25			
Molasses		5			
Tow and fert Pesticide (for		60			
army worm)	147	,			
Aerate w/					
Yeomans plough	150	150			
Total/ha	690	492			

Input costs Autumn/winter crop 23

	Cost/ha				
Activity	Conventional	Regen			
Sow seeds	165	170			
Slug bait	30	30			
Spray glyphosate	155				
DAP	180				
Urea	166	24.8			
Rhizovator		40			
Molasses		4.37			
Biocast worm juice		15			
Biomax soluble					
humate		40			
Aerate with Yeomans					
plough	150	150			
Tow and fert		60			
Total cost/ha	846	534			

Total cost and benefits

	Conventional	Regenerative
Cost	\$2,287	\$1,787
Total t/ha DM	14	21
Cost per t DM	\$161	\$84

Monitoring observations

Similar percentage groundcover.

Regenerative (multispecies) side showed:

- Higher DM, rising plate meter readings, ME, protein, NFC,
- Calcium is a bit low on conventional, consistently higher on regen side with both fodder and tissue test
- Total t DM higher by 33%
- Lower weeds
- Some preference observed when cows grazing
- Improved drought resilience
- Less pressure from pests including insects and swan grazing
- Minimum till promotes slugs so may need to bait
- Penetrometer 50% deeper
- During wet conditions soil drier and more spongy, better drained and aerated
- Double number of worms in VSA













Thank you

To Stuart, Angus and Jacqui for running the demonstration site

To Jade Killoran for advice on monitoring, seed selection, site preparation and monitoring interpretation